

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 445 333

CS 217 235

AUTHOR Pendarvis, Edwina
TITLE At the Edge of the Past: Appalachian Issues and English Composition.
PUB DATE 2000-03-16
NOTE 7p.; Paper presented at the Annual Spring Conference of the National Council of Teachers of English (New York, NY, March 16-18, 2000).
PUB TYPE Guides - Classroom - Teacher (052) -- Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Classroom Techniques; Cultural Context; Higher Education; *Language Role; *Poetry; Regional Characteristics; *Regional Dialects; *Student Attitudes; *Writing Instruction
IDENTIFIERS *Appalachian Literature

ABSTRACT

In Appalachian life and literature the past is intensely present. Many Appalachian college students buy into the myth of meritocracy, a myth which blames poverty on the poor and refuses to acknowledge the financial and social resources needed for success in this country. Part of their disregard for themselves and/or their neighbors has to do with language, especially how their language is different from standard English and how they are portrayed in literature. The southern Appalachian rural dialect is considered a signal for correction by most classroom teachers. An educator tries to help her English composition students recognize the importance of the past, but avoid simplistic, rosy pictures of bygone times, places, and people. She discusses why some grammars are considered more acceptable than others by teachers, and students are asked to read Thomas Hardy's "The Ruined Maid" as a model of the related pleasure and danger in using dialect. Students then are asked to write a poem in dialect, but to treat the subjects more respectfully than Hardy does. The impossibility of distinguishing the relative contributions of class bias and geographical bias are discussed, as is code-switching. Whether Hardy's poem patronizes rural women is also discussed. Modern Appalachian authors' works are also read, authors whose poems provide a complex picture of the present and the past and recognize the strengths of Appalachians without exaggerating stereotype characteristics. (NKA)

**At the Edge of the Past: Appalachian Issues
and English Composition**

Paper Presented at NCTE Conference, NY

March 16, 2000

Edwina Pendarvis

Marshall University, Huntington, WV

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

E. Pendarvis

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

At the Edge of the Past

In a sense, we all live at the edge of the past, but in Appalachian life and literature the past is intensely present. This is in most ways a strength, and one that is common to the life and work of other minority groups--who, like Appalachians, may partly be trying to recoup their losses. It can also be mere self-indulgence, when this attention to the past becomes overly romantic or sentimental. In English composition courses, I try to help my students to recognize the importance of the past, but to avoid simplistic, rosy pictures of bygone times, places, and people. This is particularly difficult in an economically exploited region in which students feel a strong pull to romanticize some elements of their culture because of perceived failures in other elements.

In southern Appalachia, particularly southern WV and eastern Kentucky, poverty rates are very high. The per capita income of some rural areas is among the lowest in the nation. This poverty is due, in large part, to the exploitative nature of the major industries of the early part of the 1900's---the extractive industries of timbering and coal mining. These industries have pretty much played themselves out, but their detrimental effects on the economy of the region are still much in evidence. Many of our students are poor, and many buy into the myth of meritocracy which proclaims success a function of individual genius and hard work. This myth, which blames poverty on the poor, refuses to acknowledge the financial and social resources needed for success in this country. Consequently, our students, whether graduate or undergraduate, tend to deprecate their own abilities or, if they belong to the local elite, deprecate the abilities of their

poor neighbors. Part of their disregard for themselves and/or their neighbors has to do with language, especially (1) how their language is different from standard English and (2) how they are portrayed in literature.

The southern Appalachian dialect, almost invisible to some of our working-class students because it is seldom called to their attention, is an embarrassment to many of those who are English and/or education majors. Students whose speech is characterized by usages more common to Appalachian rural speech, to Ozark mountain speech, or to any of a number of rural dialects or varieties, are likely to be regarded as deficient in language skills. Although rural speech is often admired aesthetically by ethnological purists, it is considered a signal for correction by most classroom teachers. This bias sends a clear message to rural students that they and their family are somehow deficient. For example, I observed a first-grader in an eastern Kentucky classroom, who used “warsh” instead of “wash” in an oral response in class; he was corrected by his teacher in the following manner: “We don’t say “warsh” only hillbillies say that.”

After a discussion about why some grammars are considered more acceptable than others in school and a discussion of how different grammars express the diversity of the population, I ask the students to read closely Thomas Hardy’s “The Ruined Maid.” This poem, written in dialect as a conversation between two country women, one of whom has come to live in the city, serves as a model of the related pleasure and danger in using dialect. After we read the poem, I ask my students to write a poem using dialect, but treating the subjects more respectfully than Hardy

does.

We also discuss the impossibility of distinguishing the relative contributions of class bias and geographical bias in deeming some dialects as substandard; both biases are at work in many cases, including bias against Appalachian dialect. I tell my students that a compromise suggested, i.e., to teach rural children to code-switch, but they and I question whether that is possible with dialects of a language, other than superficial vocabulary adaptations. Code-switching between two different languages seems reasonable; but once a child has learned to say “I came to see you yesterday,” it’s questionable whether she will think to switch back to “I come to see you yesterday,” when she is at home. My students know that job-preparation and preparation for technical, scholarly, and creative writing may require standard grammar, but they’re not sure that this can be achieved without a sacrifice of at least some of their original dialect.

Going beyond Appalachia to the concept of ruralness itself is also important in my efforts to ask students to recognize the importance of the past. Terms for rural people reflect a history of attitudes of superiority of urban over rural dwellers. Anyone who’s taken an introductory Shakespeare class knows a “villain” was originally a “villein” or country person. Hick, rube, hayseed, clodhopper, yahoo, and even clown, which also used to mean a country person, are all derogatory terms coming out of that history. The stigma of speaking an Appalachian dialect is partly the stigma of being rural.

We then consider “The Ruined Maid” and discuss whether it is a poem that patronizes rural women. Most regard it as patronizing; some feel that the insights it provides or the skill with which it is realized render it aesthetically and/or socially important. Following this discussion, I ask my students to write a poem that reflects a stereotype of Appalachians, but redeems the person from stereotype by the end of the poem.

As a part of our study of Appalachian literature, we talk about changes since the late 1800's-- especially differences in how Appalachian authors write about themselves compared to portrayals by authors who are not native Appalachian. We read the work of authors such as Linda Parsons, whose book *Home Fires* describes the experiences of a twentieth century Appalachian woman, taking care of home and her career; and we read *In Adversaria* by Tim Russell, who writes about working in a factory on the edge of Appalachia. These poetry collections provide a complex picture of the present and the past and recognize the strengths of Appalachians without exaggerating stereotypic characteristics. Students enjoy these works and use them as starting points for poems, stories, and essays.

Bibliography

Fasold, R. *The Sociolinguistics of Language*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1990.

Howley, Craig. "Rural Scholars or Bright Rednecks? Aspirations for a Sense of Place among Rural Youth in Appalachia. ERIC Document ED 404063, 1997.

Hardy, Thomas. *Thomas Hardy, The Complete Poems*. Ed. James Gibson. New York: Collier Books, 1976.

Lanier, Parks (Ed.). *The Poetics of Appalachian Space*. Knoxville, TN: University of Tennessee Press, 1991.

Parsons, Linda. *Home Fires*. Abingdon, VA: Sow's Ear Press.

Russell, Timothy. *In Adversaria*. Evanston, IL: Triquarterly Press (imprint of Northwestern University Press).

Williams, Raymond. *The Country and the City*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1973.



U.S. Department of Education
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement
 (OERI)
 National Library of Education (NLE)
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release
 (Specific Document)

CS 217 235

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>At the Edge of the Past: Moving from Stereotypes to Authentic Engagement through</i>	
Author(s): <i>Edwina Pendarvis</i>	<i>Appalachian Language's Literature in the Classroom</i>
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: <i>3/16/00</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to a Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <hr/> <hr/> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <hr/> <hr/> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <hr/> <hr/> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
↑ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	↑ <input type="checkbox"/>	↑ <input type="checkbox"/>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Edwina Pendarvis</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Professor, Education</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Marshall University Huntington, WV 25755</i>	Telephone: <i>(304) 696-2855</i>	Fax: <i>(304) 696-8350</i>
	E-mail Address: <i>Pendarvis@marshall.edu</i> 9/10/00	

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: <i>Rural and Small Schools</i>

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC/REC Clearinghouse
2805 E 10th St Suite 140
Bloomington, IN 47408-2698
Telephone: 812-855-5847
Toll Free: 800-759-4723
FAX: 812-856-5512
e-mail: ericcs@indiana.edu
WWW: http://www.indiana.edu/~eric_rec/

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)